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#### Abstract

A new method is proposed for exact calculation of the energy levels of Hamiltonians $H$ and the quantities $\operatorname{Sp}\{f(H+W)-f(H)\}$, where $W$ is a finite-dimensional perturbation, in the case when $H$ and $H+W$ may be represented by a certain wide class of ( $2 n+1$ )-diagonal matrices. As an example, two problems for a linear chain of spins with nearest- and next-nearest neighbour interactions described by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian are considered.


## 1. Introduction

A number of problems which involve a linear chain of particles with interactions between several ( $n$ ) 'shells' of neighbours can be formulated as follows. The matrix $H$ of the Hamiltonian is given which has a $(2 n+1)$-diagonal form ( $H=\left(H_{j k}\right)$, where $H_{j k}=0$ if $|j-k|>n)$, and whose rows are identical ( $H_{j j}=a_{0} ; H_{j j+1}=H_{j j-1}=a_{1} ; \ldots ; H_{j j+n}=$ $H_{j j-n}=a_{n}$ ) starting with an $r$ th row ( $r$ is a finite number). The problem is to find the spectrum of $H$ and the changes in thermodynamic functions, caused by a finite-dimensional perturbation $W$ such that $H+W$ is still $(2 n+1)$-diagonal, which can be expressed in the form $\operatorname{Sp}\{f(H+W)-f(H)\}$. In the present work, we shall solve this problem using the fact that, as is easily seen, the above described Hamiltonian can be written in the form $H=T(L)+V$, where $T(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n, L$ is a tridiagonal (J-)matrix with identical rows, and $V$ is finite-dimensional. In this case $L$ is associated with the Chebyshev polynomials. We shall see, however, that these problems can be tackled whenever $L$ is associated with polynomials having a known weight function. The latter fact enlarges the class of Hamiltonians amenable to our treatment.

The method we develop here is a generalization of the $J$-matrix method proposed about 25 years ago by Peresada [1-5]. The original method allowed us to solve exactly the above mentioned problem for $n=1 \dagger$ ( $H$ is then a $J$-matrix) and, approximately, similar problems for various Hamiltonians including those for 2- and 3-dimensional systems (since any symmetric matrix can be reduced to a set of $J$-matrices). In fact, the recursion method of Haydock et al [6,7], which is known wider, uses the same basic ideas, but the standard reference [7] does not contain the early developments [1-5] of the technique, and some important features of the method remain little known to the community. We try to fill in this gap partially in our conclusions.

## 2. The method

Consider the $(2 n+1)$-diagonal matrix $H=T(L)+V\left(H_{i j}=0\right.$ if $\left.|i-j|>n\right)$ of a self-adjoint operator in an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, where $T(x)$ is a

[^0]polynomial of degree $n, L$ is a $J$-matrix ( $L=\left(L_{i j}\right), L_{i j}=L_{j i}$ and $L_{i j}=0$ if $|i-j|>1$ ), and $V$ is a $\left((2 n+1)\right.$-diagonal) operator such that $V e_{i}=0$ if $i \geqslant r$. We shall assume that $H_{i j}, L_{i j}$, and the coefficients of $T(x)$ are real.

The secular equation for $H$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T(L)-\lambda I) \varphi=-V \varphi \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that H can be viewed as a block-tridiagonal matrix with blocks being $n \times n$ matrices. From the general theory of block-tridiagonal matrices [8], we know that an eigenvector of such a matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{0} & B_{0} & & & 0  \tag{2}\\
B_{0}^{*} & A_{1} & B_{1} & & \\
& B_{1}^{*} & A_{2} & B_{2} & \\
0 & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \quad \operatorname{dim} A_{1}=\operatorname{dim} B_{i}=n \quad i=0,1, \ldots
$$

can be expressed in the form $\varphi=P x$, where

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{c}
P_{0} \\
P_{1} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the $n \times n$ matrix polynomials (of the first kind) $P_{i}(\lambda)$ are defined as follows $\dagger$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-1}=0 \quad P_{0}=I \quad \ldots \quad P_{i}=-B_{i-1}^{-1}\left(\left(A_{i-1}-\lambda I\right) P_{i-1}+B_{i-2}^{*} P_{i-2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x$ is an $n$-component vector

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{0} \\
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(Further we shall use the superscript $\left(P_{i}^{M}(\lambda)\right)$ to indicate polynomials associated with a block-tridiagonal $M$ different from $H$.)

Thus we may rewrite (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x}=-R V P_{x} \quad \text { where } R=(T(L)-\lambda I)^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $s$ th component of the block-vector $V P$ is

$$
(V P)_{s}=V_{s s-1} P_{s-1}+V_{s s} P_{s}+V_{s s+1} P_{s+1}
$$

So

$$
(V P) x=\sum_{s=0}^{|r / n|} \sum_{j, k=0}^{n-1}(V P)_{s, k j} x_{j} e_{n s+k}
$$

Taking the inner product of (4) with $e_{n t+i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} P_{t, i j} x_{j}=-\sum_{s} \sum_{j, k=0}^{n-1}(V P)_{s, k j} x_{j}\left(R e_{n s+k}, e_{n t+i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dagger$ If some of the matrices $B_{i}$ are degenerate (due to $V$ ), we may add to them regularizing matrix elements $\beta_{j k}$ so that $B_{1}^{-1}$ would exist and consider the limit as $\beta_{j k} \rightarrow 0$ in the results.

We now note that $\left\{e_{r}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is the basis where $J$-matrix $L$ is defined and consequently, according to the known property of $J$-matrices [9], $e_{i}=p_{i}^{L}(L) e_{0}$, where $p_{i}^{L}(\lambda)$ are the polynomals associated with $L$ ((3) for $n=1$ ).

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\left(R p_{i}^{L}(L) p_{j}^{L}(L) e_{0}, e_{0}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{i}^{L}(\mu) p_{j}^{L}(\mu)}{T(\mu)-\lambda} \rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\lambda$ is outside the spectrum of $T(L)$ ), where the spectral density $\rho(\mu)=\left(\mathrm{d} E_{\mu} e_{0}, e_{0}\right) / \mathrm{d} \mu$, and $E_{\mu}$ is the resolution of the identity of $L$. The effectiveness of the method is based on the fact that the $\rho(\mu)$ 's are known for a large class of $\boldsymbol{J}$-matrices [10] whose $p_{\mathrm{t}}^{L}(\lambda)$ are known orthogonal polynomials (Laguerre, Jacobi, etc). The $\rho(\mu)$ 's are the weight functions of corresponding polynomials.

Hereafter we shall need only one of these matrices (although most of our consideration will be general), that is

$$
J_{\mathrm{Ch}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & & & 0 \\
\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & & \\
& \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \\
0 & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

$p_{i}^{J_{C h}}(\lambda)$ are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind and $\rho_{\mathrm{Ch}}(\lambda)=\frac{8}{\pi} \sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)}$ if $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and 0 otherwise. Thus, provided that the roots of $T(\mu)-\lambda=0$ are found, the integrals in (6) can be analytically calculated.

The matrix $H$ described in section 1 may be represented in the form $T(L)+V$, where

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & b & & & 0  \tag{7}\\
b & a & b & & \\
& b & a & b & \\
0 & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)=p J_{\mathrm{Cb}}+q I \quad p=4 b \quad q=a-2 b
$$

and, consequently, the spectral density of $L$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\lambda)=\frac{1}{p} \rho_{\mathrm{Ch}}\left(\frac{\lambda-q}{p}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a, b$, and coefficients of $T(L)$ are defined in terms of the matrix elements of $H$.
The spectrum of $L$ is known: it is continuous and fills the interval $[a-2 b, a+2 b]$. Hence the spectrum of $T(L)$ is also known and continuous, and since $V$ is finite-dimensional, its addition to $T(L)$ may lead only to the formation of points of the discrete spectrum (always leaving the continuous one invariant). But at such points, equations (5) for all $t, i$ must hold. Let us fix $t=0$. Then for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ we shall have a system of linear equations in the variables $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. So the condition for finding the discrete eigenvalues of $H$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(P_{0}+W\right)=\left|\delta_{i j}+W_{i j}(\lambda)\right|_{0}^{n-1}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i j}=\sum_{s} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(V P)_{s, k j}\left(R e_{n s+k}, e_{i}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have just shown the necessity of this condition. However, it is also sufficient. Indeed, let $\lambda$ be a solution of (9). Then the system (5) for $t=0, i=0, \ldots, n-1$ holds true for $\lambda$
and some $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$. To prove that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $H$, it suffices to show that the same $\lambda,\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ satisfy systems (5) for $t=1,2, \ldots$.

Let us set both sides of (5) for $t=-1$ to be zero and apply induction: assume that (5) $i=0, \ldots, n-1$ holds for $t-2, t-1$ and prove that it holds for $t$.

Having multiplied the recurrence
$(T(L)-\lambda I) e_{n(t-1)+m}=\sum_{j}\left(B_{t-2, j m} e_{n(t-2)+j}+\left(A_{t-1}-\lambda I\right)_{j m} e_{n(t-1)+j}+B_{t-1, j m}^{*} e_{n t+j}\right)$
(where $T(L)$ is taken in the form (2)) by $B_{t-1, i m}^{-1}$ and performed the summation over $m$, we express $e_{n t+i}$ in terms of the other elements from the resulting relation and substitute this vector in the right-hand side of (5) to get (up to a matrix $M$ such that $M x=0$ )
$-\sum_{s k}(V P)_{s, k j}\left(R e_{n, s+k}, e_{n t+1}\right)=-\left(B_{t-1}^{-1}\left[\left(A_{t-1}-\lambda I\right) P_{t-1}+B_{t-2}^{*} P_{t-2}+(V P)_{t-1}\right]\right)_{i j}$
(the special form of (11) enabled us to cancel the resolvent in the last term).
Now expressing the blocks of $V$ in terms of the blocks of $T(L)$ and $H$, we deduce that (12) is equal to $P_{t, i j}$ and thus conclude the proof.

Let us now simplify expression (10). First we note that there is a generalization of the above-mentioned property $e_{1}=p_{i}^{L}(L) e_{0}$ of $J$-matrices to block-tridiagonal ones; namely, if $X$ is a block-tridiagonal self-adjoint matrix with non-degenerate off-diagonal blocks of block dimension $n$ in the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, then it is easy to show by induction that $e_{n s+k}=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_{s, k m}^{X}(\bar{X}) e_{m}$. So we may rewrite the inner product in (10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R e_{n s+k}, e_{i}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\left(R P_{s, k m}^{r(L)-\lambda t}(T(L)-\lambda I) e_{m}, e_{i}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding equation (13) by induction in index $s$ and substituting it in (10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{i j}(\lambda)= \sum_{s k}(V P(\lambda))_{s, k j}\left\{\sum_{m} P_{s, k m}^{T(L)}(\lambda)\left(R(\lambda) e_{m}, e_{i}\right)+Q_{s, k i}^{T(L)}(\lambda)\right\}  \tag{14}\\
&=\sum_{s=0}^{[r / n]} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(V P(\lambda))_{s, k j}\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} P_{s, k m}^{T(L)}(\lambda) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m}^{L}(\mu) p_{i}^{L}(\mu)}{T(\mu)-\lambda} \rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu+Q_{s, k i}^{T(L)}(\lambda)\right\} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{s}^{T(L)}(\lambda)$ are the matrix polynomials of the second kind associated with $T(L)$. They are defined by the same recurrence as $P_{s}^{T(L)}(\lambda)$ for $s=2,3, \ldots$, but with the different starting conditions, namely $Q_{0}^{\gamma(L)}=0, Q_{1}^{T(L)}=B_{0}^{-1}$.

So (9), (15) are a necessary and sufficient condition for $\lambda$ to be a point of the discrete spectrum of $H$. The corresponding eigenvectors are $P x$, where $x$ is a solution of (5) $t=0, i=0, \ldots, n-1$ with $\lambda$.

It is worth noting that in deriving (9), (14) we have not used any special properties of $T(L)$ other than its block-tridiagonal structure. Thus the condition (9), (14) may be employed to find the discrete spectrum of any $H=H_{0}+V$, where $H$ and $H_{0}$ are selfadjoint and block-tridiagonal with non-degenerate off-diagonal blocks; $H_{0 i j}=H_{0 j i}$; and $V$ is finite-dimensional.

As was shown by Lifshitz [11, 12], the change in thermodynamic functions due to an impurity described by a finite-dimensional operator $V$ can often be represented as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sp}\left\{f(H)-f\left(H_{0}\right)\right\}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} x} \xi(x) \mathrm{d} x+\sum_{i}\left\{f\left(x_{i \mathrm{~d}}\right)-f\left(x_{i \mathrm{~b}}\right)\right\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we assume for simplicity that $H_{0}$ has only continuous spectrum $C\left(H_{0}\right)$; the trace with arbitrary $H_{0}$ can be reduced to a sum of such traces (cf subsection 3.1.2), where $H=H_{0}+V ; \xi(x)$ is a so-called shift function defined in a certain manner on $C\left(H_{0}\right)$, $\xi(x) \equiv 0$ if $x \notin C\left(H_{0}\right)$; the summation is over the points $x_{i d}$ of the discrete spectrum of $H$ ( $x_{i \mathrm{~b}}$ is the boundary nearest to $x_{i \mathrm{~d}}$ of $C\left(H_{0}\right)$ ).

We are interested in the case when $H$ and $H_{0}$ are block-tridiagonal with non-degenerate off-diagonal blocks. Such a structure of $H$ and $H_{0}$ will now enable us to find a much simpler equation for $\xi(x)$ than the original one proposed by Lifshitz [11].

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H-z I) f=g \quad \operatorname{Im} z>0 \quad \operatorname{Re} z \in C\left(H_{0}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H=H_{0}+V$ (the dimension of a block is $n$ ) and $V e_{i}=0$ if $i \geqslant r$.
Let us introduce the following notation for vectors:

$$
h_{s}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
h_{s, 0} \\
h_{s, 1} \\
\vdots \\
h_{s, n-1}
\end{array}\right) \quad h_{s, k}=\left(h, e_{n s+k}\right)
$$

Then (17) is a recurrence relation for $f_{s}$, from which we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{s}=P_{s} f_{0}+Y_{s} g \quad Y_{0}=0  \tag{18}\\
& Y_{s} g=\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_{s-k}^{k} \tilde{B}_{k-1}^{-1} g_{k-1} \quad s \neq 0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{B}_{i}$ are the blocks of $H$ in the form (2); $P_{i}^{k}(z)$ is the $i$ th matrix polynomial associated with $H$ from which the first $k$ block-rows and $k$ block-columns are removed ( $P_{i} \equiv P_{i}^{0}$ ).

Applying the resolvent $R=\left(H_{0}-z I\right)^{-1}$ to both sides of (17) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left(f, e_{k}\right) R V e_{k}=R g . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Successively taking the inner products of $e_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n-1$ with both sides of (20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}+\sum_{s} K_{0 s} f_{s}=(R g)_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{t s}$ is a block of matrix $K$ (with matrix elements $K_{i j}=\left(R V e_{j}, e_{i}\right)$ ) divided into blocks $n \times n$.

By substituting (18) in (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I+\sum_{s} K_{0 s} P_{s}\right) f_{0}=(R g)_{0}-\sum_{s} K_{0, s} Y_{s} g . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the determinant (henceforth we assume that $H_{0 l j}=\overline{H_{0 j i}}=H_{0 j i}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(z) & =\operatorname{det}\left(I+\sum_{s} K_{0 s} P_{s}\right) \\
& =\left|\delta_{i j}+\sum_{s} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(R V e_{n s+k}, e_{i}\right) P_{s, k j}\right|_{0}^{n-1} \\
& =\left|\delta_{i j}+\sum_{s k}(V P)_{s, k j}\left\{\sum_{m} P_{s, k m}^{H_{0}}\left(R e_{m}, e_{i}\right)+Q_{s, k i}^{H_{0}}\right\}\right|_{0}^{n-1} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and the algebraic complement $\Delta_{j k}$ of the element $\left(I+\sum_{s} K_{0 s} P_{s}\right)_{j k}$. (We shall soon see that $\xi(x)$ is expressed in terms of $\Delta(z)$ ).

Note that when $H_{0}=T(L)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(z)=\left|\delta_{i j}+W_{i j}(z)\right|_{0}^{n-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{i j}(z)$ is defined in (15). We see that (24) is the same as the determinant in (9), only now $z$ is essentially complex.

From (22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0, k}=\frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Delta_{j k}\left(g,\left[R-\sum_{s} K_{0 s} Y_{s}\right]^{*} e_{j}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, here we have introduced a new operator $\widehat{K_{0 s} Y_{s}} g=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(K_{0 s} Y_{s} g\right)_{i} e_{i}$ for which we, naturally, retained the same symbol $K_{0 S} Y_{s}$. (Moreover we shall also need the similarly defined operator $Y_{s}$.) Using (18) and then (25), we rewrite (20) in the form ( $f=\tilde{R} g$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{R}-R) g & =-\sum_{s i} f_{s, i} R V e_{n s+i} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{s i k j} \Delta_{j k} P_{s, i k}\left(g,\left[R-\sum_{t} K_{0 t} Y_{t}\right]^{*} e_{j}\right) R V e_{n s+i}-\sum_{s i}\left(g, Y_{s}^{*} e_{i}\right) R V e_{n s+i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Sp}(\tilde{R}-R)= & -\frac{1}{\Delta}\left\{\sum_{s i k j} \Delta_{j k} P_{s, i k}\left(R V e_{n s+i},\left[R-\sum_{t} K_{0 t} Y_{t}\right]^{*} e_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\Delta \sum_{s i}\left(R V e_{n s+i}, Y_{s}^{*} e_{i}\right)\right\} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

As we show in the appendix, the numerator in this equation is equal to $\Delta^{\prime}(z)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sp}(\tilde{R}-R)=-\Delta^{\prime}(z) / \Delta(z) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar equation, $\operatorname{Sp}(\tilde{R}-R)=-\Delta_{k}^{\prime}(z) / \Delta_{k}(z)$, was obtained by Krein [13] for an arbitrary (not necessarily block-tridiagonal) form of $H$. Here

$$
\Delta_{k}=\left|\delta_{i j}+\left(R V e_{j}, e_{i}\right)\right|_{0}^{r-1}
$$

We see that $\Delta(z)=c \Delta_{k}(z)$, where $c$ is a constant factor. To find this factor, we shall compare the asymptotic behaviour of $\Delta(z)$ and $\Delta_{k}(z)$ as $\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow+\infty$. Obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(z)=1+O(1 / \operatorname{Im} z) \quad \operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow+\infty \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

As to $\Delta(z)$, we first see by induction that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(z) \equiv\left(R(z) P_{t, m j}^{H-z I}\left(H_{0}-z I\right) e_{i}, e_{n s+k}\right) \\
& =P_{t, m j}(z)\left(R(z) e_{i}, e_{n s+k}\right)+\left(\tilde{B}_{t-1}^{-1} \tilde{B}_{t-2}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{B}_{0}^{-1}\right)_{m j}\left(B_{0} B_{1} \cdots B_{t-2}\right)_{i k} \delta_{s t-1} \\
& \quad s=t-1, t, t+1 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{B}_{i}, B_{i}$ are the off-diagonal blocks of $H$ and $H_{0}$, respectively. On the other hand, $F(z)=\sum_{q=0}^{t} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{q l}\left(R(z) e_{n q+l}, e_{n s+k}\right), \quad \gamma_{q l}=$ constant. Expressing $P_{t, m j}\left(R e_{i}, e_{n s+k}\right)$
from (29) and substituting it in the matrix element $\delta_{i J}+\sum_{s k}(V P)_{s, k j}\left(R e_{n s+k}, e_{i}\right)$ of (23), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(z)=\operatorname{det} M+\mathrm{O}(1 / \operatorname{Im} z) \quad \operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow+\infty \\
& M_{i j}=\delta_{i j}-\sum_{s}\left(B_{0} B_{1} \cdots B_{s-1} V_{s s+1} \tilde{B}_{s}^{-1} \tilde{B}_{s-1}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{B}_{0}^{-1}\right)_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $c=\operatorname{det} M$.
Our equation (23) for $\Delta$ is simpler than Krein's one for $\Delta_{k}$, because $n$ is often less than $r$ and in approximate applications of the method, much less (see section 4). When $n=1$, we simply have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(z)=1+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}(V p)_{k}\left\{p_{k}^{H_{0}}\left(R e_{0}, e_{0}\right)+q_{k}^{H_{0}}\right\} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (28), let us define $\ln \Delta_{k}(z)$ in the upper half-plane so that it be a holomorphic function there and $\ln \Delta_{k}(z)=\mathrm{O}(1 / \operatorname{Im} z), \operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow+\infty$. Then, as was shown by Krein [13], almost everywhere on the real axis (we have substituted $c^{-1} \Delta(z)$ for Krein's original $\Delta_{k}(z)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \lim _{y \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \ln \left\{c^{-1} \Delta(x+\mathrm{i} y)\right\}=\frac{1}{\pi} \lim _{y \downarrow 0} \arg \Delta(x+\mathrm{i} y)+\delta \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is an integer. Practically, to find $\xi(x)$, we simply take any continuous branch of $\frac{1}{\pi} \lim _{y \downarrow 0} \arg \Delta(x+\mathrm{i} y)$ and add to it an integer constant so as to satisfy (16) with some simple testing function, e.g. for $f(x)=x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sp} V=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(x) \mathrm{d} x+\sum_{i}\left(x_{i \mathrm{~d}}-x_{i \mathrm{~b}}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $H_{0}=T(L)$, we use (24) for $\Delta(z)$. In the limit as $y \rightarrow 0$ from above, the relevant integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{y \downarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{i}^{L}(\mu) p_{j}^{L}(\mu)}{T(\mu)-(x+\mathrm{i} y)} \rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& =\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{p} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{l}^{L}(\mu) p_{j}^{L}(\mu)}{T(\mu)-x} \rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu+\mathrm{i} \pi \sum_{l} p_{i}^{L}\left(\tilde{x}_{l}\right) p_{j}^{L}\left(\tilde{x}_{l}\right) \rho\left(\tilde{x}_{l}\right)\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{x}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left(T\left(\tilde{x}_{l}\right)\right)\right| \\
& x=T\left(\tilde{x}_{l}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

When $H_{0}=L$, we obtain, using (30),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\pi \sum_{k}(V p(x))_{k} p_{k}^{L}(x) \rho(x)}{1+\sum_{k}(V p(x))_{k}\left(p_{k}^{L}(x) \text { v.p. } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu}{\mu-x}+q_{k}^{L}(x)\right)} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, equations (16), (31), (32), (24), (15), (33) complete our solution of the problem of finding changes in thermodynamic functions.

## 3. Examples

Here we have chosen as examples the problems whose solutions, in appropriate limiting cases, are either already known or can be obtained independently using the scalar variant ( $n=1$ ) of our theory. Note that although we have chosen spin systems, problems for linear chains of mechanically oscillating particles also suggest themselves immediately.

### 3.1. Linear chain with impurity

Consider a linear system of spins $s$ with a point spin defect $\sigma$ described by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian involving interactions between nearest and next-nearest neighbours

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\sum_{\nu=1}^{2}\left[J_{\nu} \sum_{i(t, i+\nu \neq 0)}\left(s_{1} s_{i+\nu}-s^{2}\right)+J_{\sigma \nu}\left(\sigma s_{\nu}+s_{-\nu} \sigma-2 s \sigma\right)\right] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

An additive constant is chosen so that $H|0\rangle=0$ where $|0\rangle$ is the state of total spin alignment $\left(s_{i}^{+}|0\rangle=0, i= \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots ; \sigma^{+} \mid 0\right)=0$ ). We are going to find the change in the thermodynamics due to the impurity in the approximation of non-interacting magnons and discrete energy levels in the one-magnon space $\mathcal{H}$ of the system, that is in the space spanned by the vectors $h_{0}=\sigma^{-}|0\rangle / \sqrt{2 \sigma}, h_{i}=s_{i}^{-}|0\rangle / \sqrt{2 s}, i= \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$

First we note that the system is invariant under the reflection with respect to the site of the impurity spin. Hence, $\mathcal{K}$ splits into the two subspaces invariant under $H: \mathcal{H}_{s}$ spanned by $e_{0}^{s}=h_{0}, e_{i}^{s}=\left(h_{i}+h_{-i}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ spanned by $e_{i}^{\mathrm{a}}=\left(h_{i}-h_{-i}\right) / \sqrt{2}$. Accordingly, $H=H_{\mathrm{s}} \oplus H_{\mathrm{a}}$. Acting with $H$ on vectors $e_{i}^{\mathrm{s}}\left(e_{i}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and using the commutation relations, we obtain a matrix in this basis which can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{a})}=\mp L^{2}+V_{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{a})}+\left(2 s\left(J_{1}+J_{2}\right) \pm \alpha\right) I \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ has the form (7) with
$a=\frac{\beta}{2 \sqrt{\gamma}} \quad b=\sqrt{\gamma} \quad \beta= \pm s J_{1} \quad \gamma=\left|s J_{2}\right| \quad \alpha=a^{2}+2 b^{2}$
(in the double sign ( $\pm$ or $\mp$ ) the upper corresponds to $J_{2}>0$; the lower, to $J_{2}<0$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mp \gamma+v_{\mathrm{s} 1} & \beta^{\prime} & \gamma^{\prime} \\
\beta^{\prime} & v_{\mathrm{s} 2} & 0 \\
\gamma^{\prime} & 0 & v_{\mathrm{s} 3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \beta^{\prime}= \pm \beta-J_{\sigma 1} \sqrt{2 s \sigma}
\end{aligned} \quad \gamma^{\prime}= \pm \gamma-J_{\sigma 2} \sqrt{2 s \sigma}
$$

So we can use the general theory for $T(L)+V=\mp L^{2}+V_{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{a})}$, the multiple-of-unity operator being easily accountable for in the results.

In order to evaluate the determinant (9) using (15), we express $\mp L^{2}, V_{s(a)}$ as blocktridiagonal matrices with blocks $2 \times 2$ and then calculate $V P$ to get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(V_{\mathrm{s}} P_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma^{\prime} \frac{\lambda \pm \alpha-v_{\mathrm{s} 1}}{\gamma^{\prime} \mp \gamma}+v_{\mathrm{s} 1} \mp \gamma & \beta^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime} \frac{\beta^{\prime} \mp \beta}{\gamma^{\prime} \mp \gamma} \\
\beta^{\prime} & v_{\mathrm{s} 2}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(V_{\mathrm{s}} P_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{\mathrm{s} 3} \frac{\lambda \pm \alpha-v_{\mu}}{\gamma^{\prime} \mp \gamma}+\gamma^{\prime} & -v_{\mathrm{s} 3} \frac{\beta^{\prime} \mp \beta}{\gamma^{\prime} \mp \gamma} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{38}\\
\left(V_{\mathrm{s}} P_{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{i}=0 \quad i=2,3, \ldots \\
\left(V_{\mathrm{a}} P_{\mathrm{a}}\right)_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{\mathrm{a} 1} \mp \gamma & 0 \\
0 & v_{\mathrm{a} 2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gather*}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(V_{\mathrm{a}} P_{\mathrm{a}}\right)_{i}=0 \quad i=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus we need $P_{t}^{\mp L^{2}}(\lambda), Q_{t}^{\mp L^{2}}(\lambda)$ only for $t=0,1$.
The integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{i}^{\mp L}(\mu) p_{j}^{\mp L}(\mu)}{\mp \mu^{2}-\lambda} \rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \quad(i, j)=\{(0,0) ;(1,0) ;(1,1)\} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\rho(\mu)$ is given by (8)) reduce to the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{m}}{x-\tau} \sqrt{x(1-x)} \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau^{i-1} \frac{(2(m-i)+2)!}{2^{2(m-i)+3}(m-i+1)!(m-i+2)!} \\
&+\tau^{m}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\tau+\omega \tau \sqrt{\frac{\tau-1}{\tau}}\right) \quad \omega= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \tau \in[0,1] \\
1 & \text { if } \tau \notin[0,1] .\end{cases} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\tau \in[0,1]$, the integrals are taken in the principal value sense. As $\tau$ is not necessarily real, note that the branch of the square root in (40) is the following: for $z=r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}$ where $\varphi \in(-\pi, \pi], \sqrt{z}=\sqrt{r} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi / 2}$.

From this point onwards, we have to proceed with the calculation of the discrete spectrum and the shift function separately.
3.1.1. Discrete energy levels. Substituting (38), the matrix elements of $P_{t}^{\mp L^{2}}(\lambda), Q_{t}^{\mp L^{2}}(\lambda)$, $t=0,1$ and the integrals with $\omega=1$ in (9), (15), we get an algebraic equation with square roots whose solutions are discrete energy levels. It is too cumbersome, however, to write it out explicitly.

Now let us consider the limit as $J_{2}, J_{\sigma 2} \rightarrow 0$. By taking the limit under the integral sign in (39) and using the fact that in this limit $H$ becomes a $J$-matrix and (that is why) $x_{0}=1 ; x_{1}=\left(\lambda \pm \alpha-v_{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{a}) 1}\right) /\left(\beta^{\prime} \mp \beta\right)$, we obtain from the first row of (5) ( $n=2, t=0, i=0$ ) the final conditions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s}: & \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=1-\frac{\kappa}{2(\kappa-1) z+\theta} \\
\mathrm{a}: & \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=1-\frac{\kappa}{2(\kappa-1) z}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \theta=\frac{J_{\sigma 1}}{J_{1}} \quad \kappa=\theta \frac{\sigma}{s}
$$

and the eigenvalues of $H$ to be $\varepsilon=4 s J_{1} z$.
When $\sigma=s=\frac{1}{2}$, these conditions reduce to those found by Oguchi and Ono [14].
3.1.2. The shift function. The change in various thermodynamic functions (per atom) due to the impurities in the approximation of non-interacting magnons can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta F=\chi \operatorname{Sp}\left\{f(H)-f\left(H_{0}\right)\right\} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is the concentration of the impurity spins which we consider independent; $H_{0}$ is $H$ with $s=\sigma$ and also $J_{\sigma i}=J_{i}, i=1,2$.

To apply (16) here, we write

$$
\Delta F=\sum_{t=\mathrm{s,a}} \chi\left[\operatorname{Sp}\left\{f\left(H_{l}\right)-f(T(L))\right\}-\operatorname{Sp}\left\{f\left(H_{0 t}\right)-f(T(L))\right\}\right]
$$

where $T(L)=\mp L^{2}+\left(2 s\left(J_{1}+J_{2}\right) \pm \alpha\right) I$.
So the final shift function is composed of the four addends, each of which is evaluated first by applying (33)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{y \downarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{i}^{\mp L}(\mu) p_{j}^{\mp L}(\mu)}{\mp \mu^{2}-(x+\mathrm{i} y)} \rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& =\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{p} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{i}^{\mp L}(\mu) p_{j}^{\mp L}(\mu)}{\mp \mu^{2}-x} \rho(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \mp \mathrm{i} \pi p_{i}^{\mp L}(\sqrt{\mp x}) p_{j}^{\mp L}(\sqrt{\mp x}) \frac{\rho(\sqrt{\mp x})}{2 \sqrt{\mp x}} \\
& \quad \mp x \in C\left(L^{2}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

second, by substituting (43) in (15) in which the limit as $y \rightarrow 0$ is already taken, and third, by calculating the argument of (24) divided by $\pi$. Finally, (32) is employed to decide upon an additive integer constant in the resulting sum as prescribed in section 2.

In the limit as $J_{2}, J_{\sigma 2} \rightarrow 0$, the shift function takes the form
$\xi(z)=\left\{\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} & \left\{\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[1-\frac{4 z(\kappa-1)^{2}+2 \theta(\kappa-1)-\kappa^{2}}{8 z^{2}(\kappa-1)^{2}-4 z(\kappa-\theta)(\kappa-1)-\kappa \theta}\right]\right\} \\ z & \in[0,1] \\ 0 \quad z & \notin[0,1]\end{aligned}\right.$
where $\varepsilon=4 s J_{1} z ; \kappa, \theta$ are defined in (41).
This equation per se (as well as (41)) is, of course, found much more easily if we set $J_{2}=J_{\sigma 2}=0$ at the very beginning thus reducing $H$ to a $J$-matrix and proceed according to the scalar variant ( $n=1$ ) of the theory. Formula (44) follows then from (34).

Substituting (44) in (16), we find changes caused by the impurities in any desired thermodynamic quantity (setting $\chi=1$ ).

As the density of states $\eta(x)$ is defined from the relation $F=\int f(x) \eta(x) \mathrm{d} x$, it readily follows from (42), (16) that $\eta(x)=\eta_{0}(x)-\chi \xi^{\prime}(x)$, where $\eta(x), \eta_{0}(x)$ are the densities of one-magnon states (in the continuous spectrum) in the chain with impurities and the ideal chain, respectively.

### 3.2. Two-magnon bound states

Consider a linear chain of spins described by the Hamiltonian (35) but without the impurity. We are now interested in the two-magnon space $\mathcal{G}$ of this system. $\mathcal{G}$ is spanned by the vectors $D(i, i-j)=s_{i}^{-} s_{j}^{-}|0\rangle / 2 s, i \neq j ; D(i, 0)=s_{i}^{-} s_{i}^{-}|0\rangle /(2 \sqrt{s(2 s-1)})$.

In each subspace $\mathcal{G}_{k}$ spanned by $\varphi(k, p)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikm}} D(m, p) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikp} / 2} / \sqrt{N}, p=$ $0,1, \ldots$, where the summation is over all sites $m$ of the chain containing $N$ sites, there may exist levels of the discrete spectrum known as the energies of bound states of two-spin waves. These energies for the system considered here were found by Ono et al [15] in the case $s=\frac{1}{2} \dagger$.

The matrix of $H$ in the basis of vectors $\varphi(k, p), p=0,1, \ldots$ can be represented in a form similar to (36), (37)

$$
H=\mp L^{2}+V+\left(4 s\left(J_{1}+J_{2}\right) \pm \alpha\right) I
$$

where $L$ has the form (7) with
$a=\frac{\beta}{2 \sqrt{\gamma}} \quad b=\sqrt{\gamma} \quad \beta= \pm 2 J_{1} s \cos \frac{k}{2} \quad \gamma=\left|2 J_{2} s \cos k\right| \quad \alpha=a^{2}+2 b^{2}$
$V=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\mp \gamma & \beta^{\prime} & \gamma^{\prime} \\ \beta^{\prime} & -J_{1} \mp \gamma & 0 \\ \gamma^{\prime} & 0 & -J_{2}\end{array}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\beta^{\prime}= \pm \beta-2 J_{1} \sqrt{s(2 s-1)} \cos \frac{k}{2} \\ \gamma^{\prime}= \pm \gamma-2 J_{2} \sqrt{s(2 s-1)} \cos k .\end{array}\right.$
In the signs $( \pm, \mp)$ the upper one is taken when

$$
\begin{align*}
& k \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \text { and } J_{2}>0  \tag{1}\\
& k \in\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right] \text { and } J_{2}<0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\dagger$ However, we used the approximate methods of [17] for comparison with our exact results.
and the lower when

$$
\begin{align*}
& k \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \text { and } J_{2}<0  \tag{1}\\
& k \in\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right] \text { and } J_{2}>0 . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Repeating the reasoning of subsection 3.1, we obtain the condition for the energies of bound states. In the limiting case $J_{2} \rightarrow 0$, this condition becomes equivalent to that found by Wortis [16], see also [17, 18].

## 4. Conclusions

Let us stress the following points.
(1) As we saw in section 2, there is a clear connection between the formulated problems for $H=T(L)+V$ and the well-developed theory of orthogonal polynomials, which, in particular, allows us to treat a wide class of operators $H$ that way.
(2) The method gives approximate solutions for an even larger class of Hamiltonians. The point is that if a $(2 n+1)$-diagonal representation of a Hamiltonian is not analytically available, we can easily obtain it numerically. Let us start the discussion with the scalar variant ( $n=1$ ) of the method. As is known [7,19,20], given a symmetric matrix $H$ and a starting vector $\varphi$, we can reduce $H$ in the subspace spanned by $\varphi, H \varphi, H^{2} \varphi, \ldots$, to the tridiagonal (i.e. $J$-matrix) form by means of the Lanczos algorithm. (The resulting $J$-matrix $J$ turnes out then to be defined in the basis obtained by orthogonalization of the sequence $\varphi, H \varphi, H^{2} \varphi, \ldots$ ). Practically, of course, we can find only a finite number, say $r$, of the elements of the $J$-matrix. Already at this step, we can obtain approximations to the discrete energy levels and the quantities $(f(H) \varphi, \varphi)$ by utilizing the properties of polynomials $p_{i}^{J}(\lambda)$ and the quadrature equation [9], respectively. However, if the asymptotic behaviour of the elements of $J\left(J_{i k}\right.$ as $\left.i, k \rightarrow \infty\right)$ can be found, then it becomes possible to employ a more powerful theory. A review of the first developments in this (recursion) method and its applications (except for [1-5]) is given in [7]. Further progress, with emphasis on the asymptotic behaviour of $J_{i k}$, is partly reflected in [21]. Other examples of the uses of the technique include, in particular, $[22,23]$. Note, by the way, that it is possible to broaden the range of applications of the method by considering recurrence relations and $J$-matrices in a Hilbert space of operators and making use of the Liouville equation [24-27].

The main fact we want to stress in this section is that, if the asymptotic behaviour of $J_{i k}$ as $i, k \rightarrow \infty$ coincides with that of the elements of a $J$-matrix $L$ which corresponds to a known system of orthogonal polynomials, we can apply the theory of section 2 for $n=1$ (since then $J \approx L+V$, where $V$ is truncated $r \times r$ ) [5] and also obtain results connected with the evaluation of the local density of states. There follows an example of such results already published in 1970 [2].

An analytical approximation to the distribution function $g(\varepsilon)$ of the squared harmonic oscillation frequences of a single-atom cubic lattice is written as follows: $g_{\text {appr }}(x)=$ $\rho_{\mathrm{Ch}}(x) / R(x), x=\varepsilon / \varepsilon_{\max }$, where

$$
R(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 r} a_{i} p_{i}^{J / \varepsilon_{\max }} \quad a_{i}=\int_{0}^{1} p_{i}^{J / \varepsilon_{\max }} \rho_{\mathrm{Ch}}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and $\rho_{\mathrm{Ch}}(x)$ is defined in section 2. The starting vector $\varphi$ used here is one collinear with the displacement of one of the atoms of the lattice along one of the fourfold axes.

For $n>1$, we can apply the algorithm similar to that of Lanczos which involve $n$ starting orthonormal vectors and reduce a matrix to the ( $2 n+1$ )-diagonal form. The further
parallel between the $n=1$ and $n>1$ cases is clear, but implementation is non-trivial, the major problem once again being the estimation of the asymptotic behaviour of the matrix elements. To the authors' knowledge, a systematic work on the subject has not so far been carried out.

## Appendix

Here we prove that $\Delta^{\prime}(z)$ is equal to (henceforth, we assume summation over repeating indices)
$\Delta_{j k} P_{s, i k}\left(R V e_{n s+i}, R^{*} e_{j}\right)-\Delta_{j k} P_{s, i k}\left(R V e_{n s+i},\left[K_{0 t} Y_{t}\right]^{*} e_{j}\right)+\Delta\left(R V e_{n s+i}, Y_{s}^{*} e_{i}\right)$.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{\prime}(z) & =\Delta_{j k}\left(\delta_{j k}+\left(R(z) V e_{n s+i}, e_{j}\right) P_{s, i k}(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\Delta_{j k}\left(R^{2} V e_{n s+i}, e_{j}\right) P_{s, i k}+\Delta_{j k}\left(R V e_{n s+i}, e_{j}\right) P_{s, i k}^{\prime} \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

We see that the first terms in (A1) and (A2) are equal. Furthermore, as it is easy to show

$$
P_{s}^{\prime}=Y_{s}\left(\begin{array}{c}
P_{0} \\
\vdots \\
P_{s-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

So the second term in (A2) becomes

$$
Y_{s, i n q+l} Z_{q, l s i} \quad Z_{q, l s i}=\Delta_{J k} P_{q, l k}\left(R V e_{n s+i}, e_{j}\right)
$$

On the other hand, the second and the third terms in (A1) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{s, i n q+l} X_{q, l s i}  \tag{A3}\\
X_{q, l s t}=-\Delta_{j k} P_{t, m k}\left(R V e_{n s+i}, e_{j}\right)\left(R V e_{n t+m}, e_{n q+l}\right)+\Delta\left(R V e_{n s+i}, e_{n q+l}\right) \tag{A4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We shall now show that $X_{q}=Z_{q}$ and thus complete the proof. That this equality holds for $q=0$ is seen directly from (A4): Indeed, we write the first addend in (A4) in the form $-\Delta_{j k}\left(P_{f, m k}\left(R V e_{n t+m}, e_{l}\right)+\delta_{l k}-\delta_{l k}\right)\left(R V e_{n s+i}, e_{j}\right)$ and employ the well-known relation for a matrix $G$ : $\Delta_{j k} G_{l k}=\delta_{j l} \operatorname{det} G$, where $\Delta_{j k}$ is the algebraic complement of $G_{j k}$.

Assuming $Z_{-1}=X_{-1}=0$, we may proceed by induction. From the recurrence relation (cf equation (11)) (no summation over $q$ !)
$\left(H_{0}-\bar{z} I\right) e_{n(q-1)+m}=\left(B_{q-2, j m} e_{n(q-2)+j}+\left(A_{q-1}-\bar{z} I\right)_{j m} e_{n(q-1)+j}+B_{q-1, j m}^{*} e_{n q+j}\right)$
where $A_{s}, B_{s}$ are the blocks of $H_{0}$ written in the form (2), we express $e_{n q+l}$ and substitute it in (A4) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{q, l s i}=\left\{B_{q-1}^{-1}\right. & \left.\left(z I-A_{q-1}\right)\right\}_{l j} X_{q-1, j s t}-\left(B_{q-1}^{-1} B_{q-2}^{*}\right) l_{j} X_{q-2, j s i} \\
& -B_{q-1, l m}^{-1} P_{t, g^{k}} \Delta_{j k}\left(R V e_{n s+i}, e_{j}\right)\left(V e_{n t+g}, e_{n(q-1)+m}\right) \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

Deriving (A5), we used the fact that $\left(V e_{n s+i}, e_{n(q-1)+m}\right)=0$ whenever $s>q$; and the summation over $q$ in (A3) is from 0 to $s-1$. It now remains for us to express the matrix elements of $V$ in (A5) in terms of $A_{s}, B_{s}, \tilde{A}_{s}, \tilde{B}_{s}$ (blocks of $H_{0}$ and $H$ ); replace $X_{q-1}, X_{q-2}$ by $Z_{q-1}, Z_{q-2}$; and as a result we have a relation which yields $Z_{q, l s i}$.
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[^0]:    $\ddagger$ Although the proof of the equation for the shift function in section 2 is new even in the case $n=1$.

